

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/635,418	JUSAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven S. Paik	2876	

All Participants:

(1) Steven S. Paik.

(2) Mr. Leo G. Lenna (Reg. No. 42,726).

Status of Application: After Final Amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2006

Time: 10:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
11 and 35

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's representative has agreed to correct the issue of improper antecedent basis identified in claims 11 and 35. See the attached Office Action for a complete changes in the claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)